

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; and CBS STUDIOS INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AXANAR PRODUCTIONS, INC., a California corporation; ALEC PETERS, an individual, and DOES 1-20,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:15-cv-09938-RGK-E

**ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED  
APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO  
FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER  
SEAL IN SUPPORT OF  
PLAINTIFFS' REPLY BRIEF IN  
SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR  
PARTIAL SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT [DKT. 101]**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
UNDER SEAL ISO PLAINTIFFS'  
REPLY ISO MSJ

1 Having considered Plaintiffs’ Unopposed Application for Leave to File  
2 Documents Under Seal in Support of Plaintiffs’ Reply Brief in Support of Motion  
3 for Partial Summary Judgment.

4 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**, pursuant to Local Rule 79-5.2.2, that the  
5 following documents shall be filed under seal:

<u>Document</u>
<p>8 1. <b>Exhibit A</b> to the Declaration of David Grossman in Support of 9 Plaintiffs’ Reply Brief in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment 10 (“Grossman Reply Declaration”) (excerpts from the deposition transcript of 11 Alec Peters taken on October 19, 2016), which Defendants marked 12 Confidential pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order entered 13 by this Court on July 12, 2016. <i>See</i> Dkt. 53.</p>
<p>15 2. <b>Exhibit CCC</b> to the Grossman Reply Declaration (excerpts from 16 the deposition transcript of John Van Citters taken on September 28, 2016), 17 which Plaintiffs marked Confidential pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated 18 Protective Order entered by this Court on July 12, 2016. <i>See</i> Dkt. 53.</p>
<p>20 3. <del><b>Exhibit MMM</b> to the Grossman Reply Declaration (an Axanar</del> 21 <del>document), which Defendants marked Confidential pursuant to the terms of the</del> 22 <del>Stipulated Protective Order entered by this Court on July 12, 2016. <i>See</i> Dkt.</del> 23 <del>53.</del></p> <p>24 As the Court recently reminded the parties in the Court's Order at DE 25 97, applications to file documents under seal pursuant to a protective 26 order only (with no other specific reasoning given by the party 27 designating the document confidential, as required by Local Rule 79-</p>

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

5.2.2(b)(i)), will be automatically denied, pursuant to Revised Standing Order 16 (previously Standing Order 15).

4. Plaintiffs’ unredacted Reply Brief in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, which quotes from and/or references material that Defendants have designated as “Confidential” pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order entered by this Court on July 12, 2016. *See* Dkt. 53.

5. The unredacted Grossman Reply Declaration, which quotes from and/or references material that Defendants have designated as “Confidential” pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order entered by this Court on July 12, 2016. *See* Dkt. 53.

6. Plaintiffs’ unredacted Objections to Defendants’ Evidence Submitted in Support of Defendants’ Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, which quotes from and/or references material that Defendants have designated as “Confidential” pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order entered by this Court on July 12, 2016. *See* Dkt. 53.

7. Plaintiffs’ unredacted Response to Defendants’ Objections to Evidence Regarding Plaintiffs’ Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, which quotes from and/or references material that Defendants have designated as “Confidential” pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order entered by this Court on July 12, 2016. *See* Dkt. 53.

8. Plaintiffs’ unredacted Reply Re: Defendants’ Response to Plaintiffs’ Statement of Uncontroverted Facts and Conclusions of Law and Additional Material Facts in Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, which quotes from and/or references material that

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Plaintiffs and Defendants have designated as “Confidential” or “Highly Confidential” pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order entered by this Court on July 12, 2016. *See* Dkt. 53.

Dated: December 9, 2016



Hon. R. Gary Klausner  
United States District Judge

The Filing Party must file the sealed document pursuant to L.R. 79-5.2.2(c). The Clerk will not convert the PROPOSED sealed document submitted with the Application into a new filing.