Case	2:15-cv-09938-RGK-E	Document 76-3	Filed 11/16/16	Page 1 of 12	Page ID #:3269
1 2 3 4 5	Erin R. Ranahan (SBN eranahan@winston.co Diana Hughes Leiden dhleiden@winston.co Kelly N. Oki (SBN: 3 koki@winston.com WINSTON & STRAV 333 South Grand Ave Los Angeles, CA 900 Telephone: (213) 615	om (SBN: 267606) m 04053) WN LLP nue			
6 7 8	Facsimile: (213) 615 Attorneys for Defenda AXANAR PRODUCT and ALEC PETERS	5-1750			
9		UNITED STAT	FES DISTRIC	T COURT	
10	C	ENTRAL DIST	FRICT OF CA	LIFORNIA	
11					
12	PARAMOUNT PIC CORPORATION, a		Case No	. 2:15-cv-099	38-RGK-E
13	corporation; and CBS	STUDIOS INC	., a Assigned	l to: Hon. R.	Gary Klausner
14 15	4 Defaware corporation, 4 Plaintiffs, 5 vs. 6 AXANAR PRODUCTIONS, INC., a		UNCON CONCL	MENT OF TROVERTI JUSIONS OF RT OF DEFI	
16 17			AXANA AND AI	ANAR PRODUCTIONS, INC., D ALEC PETERS' MOTION FOR IMARY JUDGMENT	
18	Defendar	·	FED. R.	CIV. P. 56(c	2)
19			Hearing Time:	Date: 12/ 9:0	/19/16 00 a.m.
20			Original	Complaint Fi	led: 12/29/15
21 22				iended Comp	aint Filed: 3/11/16
22					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	STATEME	ENT OF UNCONTRO	VERTED FACTS – C	ase No. CV 09938-	RGK-E

Defendants Axanar Productions, Inc., and Alec Peters ("Axanar") respectfully 1 2 submits the following Statement of Uncontroverted Facts and Conclusions of Law 3 pursuant to Local Rule 56-1 in support of Defendants' Motion for Summary 4 Judgment.

5

I.

STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACT	<u>S</u>

5		
<u>Fact</u> <u>No.</u>	Uncontroverted Facts	Supporting Evidence
1	Star Trek was originally conceived by Gene	Plaintiffs' First Amended
	Roddenberry, and debuted as a television show in 1966.	Complaint ECF No. 26 ("FAC") ¶ 13; Defendants'
		Answer to Plaintiffs' First
		Amended Complaint, ECF
		No. 48 at 3, ¶ 13
2	Plaintiffs allege that Defendants' Works	Declaration of Kelly N.
	infringe 40 specific Star Trek episodes and 11	Oki, Nov. 16, 2016 ("Oki
	movies.	Decl."), Ex. 1 (CBS
		Studios Inc.'s Amended Responses to
		Interrogatories, Set One,
		Response to Interrogatory
		Nos. 4-5); Oki Decl., Ex. 2 (Paramount Pictures
		Corporation's Amended
		Responses to
		Interrogatories, Set One, Response to Interrogatory
		Nos. 4-5)
3	Plaintiffs do not purport to own in this lawsuit a	FAC, Appendix A ¶¶ 2-6
	copyright to the Star Trek universe, but rather own a limited number of copyrights to certain	
	episodes and films.	
4	Of the 51 allegedly infringed works, to date,	Oki Decl. ¶ 15
	Plaintiffs have not produced a single copy of <i>any</i> of these episodes or films, though	
	discovery is now closed.	
5	Plaintiffs do not allege that Defendants' Works	FAC ¶¶ 46-47
	use any clips or otherwise copy the plot,	
	1	
	STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS – Case N	No. CV 09938-RGK-E

1		dialogue, timeline, or central characters of any	
2		of Plaintiffs' Works, but instead allege	
3		infringement of such elements such as clothing,	
		shapes, words, colors, short phrases, the Klingon language, and works derived from	
4		nature, third parties, and the public domain.	
5	6	While Plaintiffs do have copyright registrations	FAC, Appendix A ¶¶ 2-6
6		to central Star Trek characters such as Spock	
7		and Captain Kirk, Defendants Works' do not	
		include those or any other characters to which Plaintiffs own separate copyrights.	
8	7	Defendant Alec Peters, a lifelong Star Trek fan,	ECF No. 48, Counterclaim
9		founded Axanar Productions along with a group	at 18, ¶ 11; Oki Decl., Ex.
10		of other Star Trek fans to celebrate their love of	13 (Deposition of Alec
11		Star Trek by creating original stories which take	Peters (Oct. 19, 2016)
		place in the so-called Star Trek universe.	("Peters Tr., Vol. I") at 81:5-12; 88:5-14);
12			Declaration of Alec Peters,
13			Nov. 16, 2016, ("Peters
14			Decl."), at ¶ 2
15	8	Defendants' first endeavor was the short film	Peters Decl., at ¶¶ 7-9;
16		<i>Prelude</i> , which was to be followed by Defendants' evolving non-commercial film	Peters Decl, Ex. 1
		project (the "Potential Fan Film") tentatively	
17		titled Axanar.	
18	9	Both <i>Prelude</i> and the Potential Fan Film were	ECF No. 48, Counterclaim
19		intended to tell the original story of Garth of	at 19 ¶¶ 15-16; Oki Decl.,
20		Izar, an obscure character who made his lone television appearance in a 1969 episode from	Ex. 14 (CBS Studios Inc.'s Responses to Requests for
		Star Trek: The Original Series titled <i>Whom</i>	Admission, Set One,
21		Gods Destroy.	Response to Request for
22			Admission Nos. 21-22);
23			Oki Decl., Ex. 15
24			(Paramount Pictures Corporation's Responses to
			Requests for Admission,
25			Set One, Response to
26			Request for Admission
27			Nos. 21-22); Oki Decl., Ex.
28			12 (Deposition of J.J. Abrams, Nov. 9, 2016,
	<u></u>		
	<u> </u>	2 STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS – Case N	No. CV 09938-RGK-E

1			("Abrams Tr.") at 14:22-
2			15:3;); Oki Decl., Ex. 11
3			(Deposition of Justin Yipin
			Lin, Nov. 7, 2016, ("Lin Tr.") at 16:10-22); Peters
4			Decl., Ex. 1
5	10	Prelude portrays (and the Potential Fan Film	Oki Decl., Ex. 13 (Peters
6		would portray) Garth of Izar in a new way not	Tr., Vol. I at 87:13-88:1);
7		seen in any of Plaintiffs' Works—specifically,	Oki Decl., Ex. 5 (Burnett
		as a war veteran with psychological issues	Tr. at 192:2-15); Peters
8		resulting from his traumatic experiences during the Four Years War between the United	Decl., at ¶¶ 6-7; Peters
9		Federation of Planets and the Klingon Empire.	Decl., Ex. 1
10	11	Star Trek, which promotes the ideals of	ECF No. 48, Counterclaim
		tolerance, unity, inclusion, and peace, aired	at 15, ¶ 6
11		during the Vietnam War, before it was socially	
12		accepted to publicly examine issues such as	
13	12	Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. Defendants' Works (made up of (i) an original	Oki Decl., Ex. 13 (Peters
14		twenty-minute "mockumentary" that has been	Tr., Vol. I at 87:13-88:1);
		available for free on YouTube since 2014), (ii)	Oki Decl., Ex. 5 (Burnett
15		a three-minute scene (the "Vulcan Scene"),	Tr. at 192:2-15); Peters
16		Defendants' Potential Fan Film, and their	Decl., ¶ 7
17		creation of scripts for that project) are both social commentary and satire, in that they focus	
18		on and intend to expose the true horrors and	
		consequences of war in ways the Plaintiffs'	
19		Works did not.	
20	13	<i>Prelude</i> takes place in a time period previously	ECF No. 48, Counterclaim
21		unexplored by the Plaintiffs' Works, and features an original plot and is shot in a	at 24-25, ¶¶ 30-31; Oki Decl., Ex. 13 (Peters Tr.,
22		narrative "mockumentary" style, featuring	Vol. I at 85:7-23); Oki
		direct-to-camera interviews with characters, a	Decl., Ex. 5 (Burnett Tr. at
23		style never before used by either Plaintiffs or in	22:8-23:8; 202:12-203:4);
24		any other Star Trek fan fiction.	Peters Decl., Ex. 1
25	14	Defendents' Works are low budget intended to	Oki Dael Ex 12 (Datare
26	14	Defendants' Works are low budget, intended to be distributed for free online, appeal to a	Oki Decl., Ex. 13 (Peters Tr., Vol. I at 224:21-225:4)
		relatively small audience of "Trekkies," and	$\left \begin{array}{c} 11., \ 1 \ 01. \ 1 \ ut \ 22 \ 1.21 \ 220. \ \mathbf{T} \right) \\ \end{array} \right $
27		have made no profit.	
28			
		3	
		STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS – Case N	No. CV 09938-RGK-E

Case 2:15-cv-09938-RGK-E Document 76-3 Filed 11/16/16 Page 5 of 12 Page ID #:3273

1 2 3 4	15	In August of 2014, Defendants released <i>Prelude</i> for free on YouTube.com.	ECF No. 48, Counterclaim, ¶ 16; Oki Decl., Ex. 13 (Peters Tr., Vol. I at 57:1- 11, 85:7-23); Oki Decl., Ex. 5 (Burnett Tr. at 22:8- 23:8; 202:12-203:4); Peters
5			Decl., ¶ 7
6 7	16	In March of 2014, Defendants launched a Kickstarter campaign to raise money for the	Oki Decl., Ex. 13 (Peters Tr., Vol. I at 239:20-23,
8		Potential Fan Film.	241:10-13); Peters Decl., ¶ 9
9	17	Aside from the Vulcan Scene (released for free	Peters Decl., ¶ 9; Oki
10		on YouTube.com in July 2015), which may or	Decl., Ex. 5 (Burnett Tr. at
11 12		may not ultimately become part of the Potential Fan Film, no scenes from the Potential Fan Film have been filmed.	174:3-10); Oki Decl., Ex. 6 (Hunt Tr. at 56:12-25)
12	18	Of the six total characters portrayed in <i>Prelude</i> , four were developed entirely by Defendants.	Peters Decl., ¶ 8
14	19	As the Vulcan Scene and the Potential Fan Film	Oki Decl., Ex. 13 (Peters
15		are both intended to build off of the <i>Prelude</i> storyline, they also are set in the same unique	Tr., Vol. I at 43:9-14); Oki Decl., Ex. 6 (Hunt Tr. at
16 17		timeframe.	44:18-25); Oki Decl., Ex. 5 (Burnett Tr. At 104:11-
18			105:17); Peters Decl, Ex. 2
19	20	The three minute Vulcan Scene features two	Oki Decl., Ex. 13 (Peters
20		characters, one of which is completely original,	Tr., Vol. I at 43:9-14, 85:7-
21		as well as Defendants' own dialogue.	23); Peters Decl., ¶ 10; Oki Decl., Ex. 5 (Burnett Tr. at
22			22:8-23:8, 202:12-203:4);
23			Oki Decl., Ex. 6 (Hunt Tr.
24			At 44:18-25); Peters Decl., Ex. 2
25	21	As a war mockumentary, <i>Prelude</i> was largely	Oki Decl., Ex. 5 (Burnett
26		inspired by works such as "M*A*S*H," "Band of Brothers," "Babylon 5," "The Pacific" and	Tr. at 22:15-23:18); Oki Decl., Ex. 6 (Hunt Tr. at
27		"The Civil War."	51:8-16); Oki Decl., Ex. 13
28			(Peters Tr., Vol. I at 57:19- 58:4); Peters Decl., Ex. 1
		4	
		STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS – Case N	No. CV 09938-RGK-E

1	22	Mr. Peters modeled his performance of Garth of	Peters Decl., ¶ 7
2		Izar after the veterans depicted in "Band of Brothers," the HBO war documentary mini-	
3		series.	
4	23	The Potential Fan Film was also intended to	Oki Decl., Ex. 5 (Burnett
5		borrow from war film sources, including "The	Tr. at 22:15-23:18); Peters
6		Longest Day," "Patton," and "The Hunt for Red October."	Decl., ¶ 9
7	24	While the Potential Fan Film is unfinished, and	Peters Decl., ¶ 15
8		its scripts still in flux, the most recent draft script featured 50 original characters (of a total	
		57 characters).	
9	25	At the Motion to Dismiss stage of these	FAC ¶ 36; ECF No. 54
10		proceedings, this Court relied on the truth of Plaintiffs' allegation that as of August 2015,	(Order re Defendants' Motion to Dismiss) at 5, 7
11		there was a "fully revised and locked" script for the Potential Fan Film.	Motion to Distiliss) at 3, 7
12	26	As has been shown through discovery,	Oki Decl., Ex. 6 (Hunt Tr.
13		Defendants used "locked script" as a term of art	at 47:19-48:6); Peters
14		meaning that no new sets, scenes or characters will be added to a script, and is used to aid in	Decl., ¶ 13, Peters Decl., Ex. 3
15		budgeting purposes.	LA. 5
16	27	Many scripts have been created since the	Peters Decl., ¶ 13, Peters
17		unfinished August 2015 script, all using varying degrees of the Star Trek Universe.	Decl., Ex. 3
18	28	Defendants are not currently committed to	Oki Decl., Ex. 13 (Peters
19		using any of the existing scripts in the Potential Fan Film, and have not decided what format,	Tr., Vol. I at 74:10-23); Peters Decl., ¶¶ 13-14; Oki
20		length and substance the Potential Fan Film will	Decl., Ex. 6 (Hunt Tr. at
21		take, though are considering whether to make	49:18-50:5); Oki Decl., Ex.
22		more mockumentary style works.	5 (Burnett Tr. at 88:7-18, 97:11-98:7)
23	29		Oki Decl., Ex. 3 (Report of
24			Christian Tregillis) at ¶¶ 7- 12, 34-35; ECF No. 72-63
25			, ,
26			
27	30	Defendants' Works are not intended to be	Oki Decl., Ex. 13 (Peters
28		commercialized, and Defendants have no	Tr., Vol. I at 225:5-6); Oki
20		ambitions of competing against Plaintiffs'	Decl., Ex. 4 (Report of
		5 STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS – Case N	No. CV 09938-RGK-E

Ш

1		Works in movie theaters, on television, over	Henry Jenkins) at 4
2		premium streaming services, or to otherwise	
3	31	sell their Works for profit. Plaintiffs' most recent feature film, <i>Star Trek</i>	Oki Decl., Ex. 3 (Report of
4	51	Beyond, had a production budget of \$185	Christian Tregillis) at ¶ 10
		million and has grossed over \$350 million	Christian Heghnis) at 10
5		worldwide since its July 22, 2016 release.	
6	32	Plaintiffs' Works are budgeted and produced	Oki Decl., Ex. 3 (Report of
7		for appeal to the general public worldwide,	Christian Tregillis) at ¶ 10
8		offering the type of production, special effects, talent, and other qualities that result in	
		extensive profits.	
9	33		Oki Decl., Ex. 10
10			(Deposition of Dan
11			O'Rourke, Sept. 30, 2016 ("O'Pourke Tr") at 00:15
12			("O'Rourke Tr.") at 99:15- 100:2); Oki Decl., Ex. 9
			(Deposition of John Van
13			Citters, Sept. 28, 2016
14			("Van Citters Tr.") at
15	34		160:13-15 Oki Decl., Ex. 14 (CBS
16			Studios Inc.'s Responses to
17			Requests for Admission,
			Set Two, Response to
18			Request for Admission
19			Nos. 72-73); Oki Decl., Ex. 15 (Paramount Pictures
20			Corporation's Responses to
21			Requests for Admission,
			Set Two, Response to
22			Request for Admission Nos. 72-73); Oki Decl., Ex.
23			1 (CBS Studios Inc.'s
24			Amended Responses to
25			Interrogatories, Set One,
26			Response to Interrogatory
			Nos. 4-9); Oki Decl., Ex. 2 (Paramount Pictures
27			Corporations Amended
28			Responses to
		6	
		STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS - Case 1	No. CV 09938-RGK-E

Case 2:15-cv-09938-RGK-E Document 76-3 Filed 11/16/16 Page 8 of 12 Page ID #:3276

1			Interrogatories, Set One,
2			Response to Interrogatory
3			Nos. 4-9); Oki Decl., Ex. 3
3			(Report of Christian
4			Tregillis) at ¶ 58-62; Oki
5			Decl., Ex. 9 (Van Citters
			Tr. at 94:8-95:7, 119:19- 124:18); Oki Decl., Ex. 10
6			(O'Rourke Tr. at 60:22-
7			61:5; 63:8-16)
8	35		Oki Decl., Ex. 9 (Van
9			Citters Tr. at 52:14-18,
9			54:9-23, 119:19-124:18);
10			Oki Decl., Ex. 10
11			(O'Rourke Tr. at 60:22-
12	36		61:5; 63:8-16) Declaration of Jonathan
	50		Lane, Nov. 15, 2016
13			("Lane Decl."), Ex. 1; Oki
14			Decl., Ex. 4 (Report of
15			Henry Jenkins) at 2;
			Declaration of Reece
16			Watkins, Nov. 15, 2016
17			("Watkins Decl."), Ex. 1; Oki Decl., Ex. 3 (Report of
18			Christian Tregillis) ¶ 63;
			Oki Decl., Ex. 9 (Van
19			Citters Tr. at 137:5-21);
20			Oki Decl., Ex. 8
21			(Deposition of Elizabeth
			Kalodner ("Kalodner Tr."),
22			Oct. 13, 2016 at 33:22- 42:17); Oki Decl., Ex. 7
23			(Deposition of Bill Burke,
24			Nov. 3, 2016 ("Burke Tr.")
25			at 40:5-45:7; Oki Decl., Ex.
			16
26	37	Star Trek fans have produced and disseminated	Oki Decl., Ex. 3 (Report of
27		fan fiction for over 50 years, without complaint,	Christian Tregillis) at ¶¶
28		and rather with encouragement from Plaintiffs.	10-12; Lane Decl., Ex. 1 at
20			1
		7	
		STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS – Case N	NO. CV 09938-RGK-E

1	38	$D1^{+} (C1^{+} 1^{+} C4^{+} 1^{+} C4^{+} 1^{+} C4^{+} 1^{+$	
		Plaintiffs have benefitted from the unpaid and	Oki Decl., Ex. 4 (Report of
2		often unacknowledged labor of fans, who have	Henry Jenkins) at 8
3		helped to maintain engagement in the Plaintiffs'	
		Works during leaner years in Plaintiffs' cycle of	
4	39	production. Prelude had a production budget of	Peters Decl., ¶ 7
5	39	\$125,000.00, and was posted on YouTube.com	reters Deci., /
6		to be viewed for free, with no profit to	
		Defendants.	
7	40		Oki Decl., Ex. 9 (Van
8			Citters Tr. at 119:19-
9			124:18); Oki Decl., Ex. 10 (O'Rourke Tr. at 60:22-
10			(O Rourke 11. at 00.22- 61:5; 63:8-16)
	41		Oki Decl., Ex. 3 (Report of
11			Christian Tregillis) at ¶¶
12			10-12; Oki Decl., Ex. 12
13			(Abrams Tr. at 42:7-11);
14			Oki Decl., Ex. 9 (Van Citters Tr. at 119:19-
			124:18); Oki Decl., Ex. 10
15			(O'Rourke Tr. at 60:22-
16			61:5; 63:8-16)
17	42		Oki Decl., Ex. 9 (Van
18			Citters Tr. at 119:19-
			124:18); Oki Decl., Ex. 10 (O'Rourke Tr. at 60:22-
19			61:5; 63:8-16)
20	43	There were approximately 12 more scripts	Peters Decl., ¶ 13; Peters
21		prepared after the August 2015 Facebook post	Decl., Ex. 3
		proclaiming a "fully revised and locked" script,	
22		including new scripts that were prepared after this litigation commenced	
23	44	this litigation commenced. Though Defendants halted plans for any filming	Oki Decl., Ex. 5 (Burnett
24		and temporarily stopped working on the project	Tr. at 174:3-10); Oki Decl.,
25		altogether after Plaintiffs filed suit, Defendants	Ex. 6 (Hunt Tr. at 56:12-
		resumed drafting scripts when it was publicly	25); Oki Decl., Ex. 12
26		announced that this lawsuit was "going away."	(Abrams Tr. at 20:23-
27	45	In March 2016, Justin Lin, the director of the	21:13) Oki Decl., Ex. 11 (Lin Tr.
28	45	most recent <i>Star Trek</i> motion picture, <i>Star Trek</i>	at 17:11-23); ECF No. 48,
	L		- / , =
-		STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS – Case N	Io. CV 09938-RGK-E

1		Beyond, publicly commented on this case,	Counterclaim ¶¶ 21-22
2		stating: "[t]his is getting ridiculous! I support	
3	46	the fans. Trek belongs to all of us." In May 2015, J.J. Abrams, who directed and/or	Oki Decl., Ex. 12 (Abrams
4	40	produced the three most recent Star Trek	Tr. at 20:23-21:13); ECF
		movies, publicly stated that he and Justin Lin	No. 48, Counterclaim ¶¶
5		"realized this [case] was not an appropriate way	21-22
6	47	to deal with the fans."	Olri Dasl. Ex. 12 (Altranes
7	47	In May 2015, J.J. Abrams stated that "fans should be celebrating [Star Trek]. Fans of Star	Oki Decl., Ex. 12 (Abrams Tr. at 20:23-21:13); ECF
8		Trek are part of this world. So [Justin] went to	No. 48, Counterclaim ¶¶
9		the studio and pushed them to stop this lawsuit	21-22
		and now, within the next few weeks, it will be	
10		announced this is going away, and that fans would be able to continue working on their	
11		project."	
12	48	In the 1976 book Star Trek: The New Voyages,	ECF No. 48, Counterclaim
13		Mr. Roddenberry stated in the Foreword that he	at 15-17, ¶ 7; ECF No. 49,
14		"realized that there is no more profound way in which people could express what Star Trek has	Answer to Counterclaim at $1-2, \P 7$
		meant to them than by creating their own very	1-2, /
15		personal Star Trek [fan fiction]."	
16	49	Since Mr. Roddenberry's statement, a	Lane Decl., Ex. 1 at 26;
17		substantial number of films have been created	Oki Decl., Ex. 4 (Report of Henry Jenkins)
18		by fans without any complaint by Plaintiffs, some using characters from the Star Trek	rielity Jenkins)
		Works and exact replicas of Star Trek movie	
19		sets.	
20	50	For over 50 years, Plaintiffs have tolerated, and	Oki Decl., Ex. 4 (Report of
21		even encouraged a community of fandom and fan fiction surrounding Star Trek.	Henry Jenkins) at 3; Oki Decl., Ex. 11 (Lin Tr. at
22		full field suffounding stur free.	40:18-41:18); Oki Decl.,
23			Ex. 3 (Report of Christian
			Tregillis) ¶¶ 24, 63; Oki
24			Decl., Ex. 9 (Van Citters Tr. at 62:1-25, 137:5-21);
25			Oki Decl., Ex. 8 (Kalodner
26			Tr. at 33:22-42:17), Oki
27			Decl., Ex. 7 (Burke Tr. at
28			40:5-45:7); Oki Decl, Ex.
20			16
		9 STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS – Case N	No. CV 00038 PCV E
		51A1EIVIENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS – Case I	NU. UN UNNDO-KUK-E

II. **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

1. A motion for summary judgment should be granted if there is no genuine issue of material fact and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Fed. R.Civ. P. 56(c); Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 247-48 (1986).

2. The Court should enter judgment against Plaintiffs with respect to the Potential Fan Film because a federal court will "not resolve issues involving contingent future events that may not occur as anticipated, or indeed may not occur at all." Clinton v. Acequia, Inc., 94 F.3d 568, 572 (9th Cir. 1996).

3. Defendants' Works do not violate 17 U.S.C. Section 103(b) because they are not substantially similar to Plaintiffs' works. Under 17 U.S.C. Section 103(b), any "[c]opyright in a...derivative work extends only to the material contributed by the author of such work, as distinguished from the preexisting material employed in the work." Even if Defendants' Works were inspired by any of Plaintiffs' Works, Defendants' Works are not "derivative works" because they do not appropriate protected expression from those works. Defendants' Works do not substantially copy from Plaintiffs' Works, and "[a] work is not derivative unless it has substantially copied from a prior work." 1 M. Nimmer & D. Nimmer, Nimmer on Copyright, § 3.01 at 3-3.

19 4. Plaintiffs' copyright claims fail because only original elements of the 20 Plaintiffs' Works are "protectable," and Plaintiffs' do not set forth original elements that Defendants copied. Funky Films, Inc. v. Time Warner Entm't Co., L.P., 462 F.3d 22 1072, 1077 (9th Cir. 2006).

23 5. Defendants' Works are also protected as fair use because all four factors, 24 or at least the most important factors, weigh in favor of Defendants' Works qualifying as fair use. Specifically (1) there is no negative effect of Defendants' use upon 26 Plaintiffs' potential market for or value of the copyrighted works; (2) the purpose and 27 character of the use is transformative and non-commercial; (3) the amount and 28 substantiality of the portion used in relation to the copyrighted work is minimal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

21

compared to the work as a whole; and (4) the nature of the copyrighted work is long-published works featuring a character that was only published in one episode in 1969. Dated: November 16, 2016 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP By: /s/ Erin R. Ranahan Erin R. Ranahan Diana Hughes Leiden Kelly N. Oki Attorneys for Defendants, AXANAR PRODUCTIONS, INC. and ALEC PETERS STATEMENT OF UNCONTROVERTED FACTS - Case No. CV 09938-RGK-E